

**PDC 2017  
Tokyo, Japan**

Please send your abstract to [iaapdc \(at\) iaaemail.org](mailto:iaapdc@iaaemail.org)

You may visit [www.pdc.iaaweb.org](http://www.pdc.iaaweb.org)

(please choose one box to be checked)

(you may also add a general comment - see end of the page)

**Key International and Political Developments**

**Advancements in NEO Discovery**

**New NEO Characterization Results**

**Deflection & Disruption Modeling and Testing**

**Mission & Campaign Design**

**Impact Consequences**

**Disaster Response**

X **The Decision to Act**

**Public Education and Communication**

## Impact Corridor Visualizer Tool for ESA

Fabrizio Bernardi<sup>a,\*</sup>, Linda Dimare<sup>a</sup>, Andrea Milani<sup>a,b</sup>, Alessio Del Vigna<sup>a,b</sup>, Davide Bracali Cioci<sup>a</sup>,  
Ettore Perozzi<sup>c,d</sup>, Ana Maria Teodorescu<sup>d</sup>, Claudiu Teodorescu<sup>d</sup>, Detlef Koschny<sup>e</sup>, Gerhard  
Drolshagen<sup>g</sup>, Gianpiero Di Girolamo<sup>f</sup>, Arturo Vinué Visús<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>SpaceDyS s.r.l., Polo Tecnologico di Navacchio, Via Mario Giuntini 63, Cascina, (PI), 56023, Italy, +39-050-751-9607

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, Pisa, (PI), 56127, Italy

<sup>c</sup>NEOCC - NEO Coordination Centre, ESA-ESRIN, Via Galileo Galilei C.P.64, Frascati, (Roma), 00044, Italy

<sup>d</sup>DEIMOS Space Romania, Buzesti Street, no. 75-77, et. 6, room no. 56, sct 1, Bucharest, Romania

<sup>e</sup>ESA-ESTEC, Keplerlaan 1, 2201 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands

<sup>f</sup>ESA-ESOC, Robert-Bosch-Strae 5, 64293 Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>g</sup>Space Environment Studies, University of Oldenburg, 26111 Oldenburg, Germany

---

**Keywords:** impact corridor, impact prediction, tool

---

In 2016 a consortium led by SpaceDyS developed for the NEO Coordination Centre (NEOCC) of the European Space Agency a new Impact Corridor Visualizer. The corridor location is computed using the NEODYs (NEO Dynamics Site) service, data and resources. The used mathematical approach is an adaptation of the semi-linear method [1], [2] for the prediction of the impact corridor on ground.

The computation starts from a least square orbit for which an impact is possible in the next century or so with probability  $IP > 0$  [3]. Using the set of orbital parameters with its covariance matrix compatible with the asteroid data and leading to an impact, the algorithm computes the boundaries at different confidence levels of the impact corridor. The method involves the propagation of a sample of virtual orbits which cover a given  $\sigma$  level of the uncertainty ellipse obtained from the covariance matrix of the virtual impactor orbital parameters set. The propagation stops at a given altitude  $h$  or on ground. The software then provides list of geographical coordinates for each of the  $\sigma$  contours and the considered altitude  $h$ .

The Impact Corridor Visualizer is able to show a 2-D impact corridor using a google map API or to download a KML file that can be visualized in 3-D using GoogleEarth. The default computations provide the 1,3 and 5  $\sigma$  level contours at an altitude  $h = 100km$ , when the impactor enters the Earth atmosphere,

---

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [bernardi@spacedys.com](mailto:bernardi@spacedys.com) (Fabrizio Bernardi), [dimare@spacedys.com](mailto:dimare@spacedys.com) (Linda Dimare),  
[milani@dm.unipi.it](mailto:milani@dm.unipi.it) (Andrea Milani), [Detlef.Koschny@esa.int](mailto:Detlef.Koschny@esa.int) (Detlef Koschny)

and on ground  $h = 0\text{km}$ . The tool shows, the trajectory corridor connecting the  $h = 100\text{km}$  contours with the on ground contours. In this way the decision maker has a clear picture of the region that may be affected by an in-flight explosion, if the impactor is small in size.

The Impact Corridor computations are required to be performed when the  $IP > 1\%$ , but when the probability is still very low this information will not be public. In fact when the  $IP < 100\%$  the impact corridor will be several thousands of kilometers long and the outcome of a distorted communication to the public may provoke unnecessary mass panic. It is the responsibility of the decision makers to provide the correct information to the public when the impact location is well constrained and agreed among the experts.



**Figure 1:** Extrapolated impact location (right side of the figure) and the trajectory corridor (in grey) of 2008TC3 that occurred on 7 October 2008. The red ellipse shows the place where meteorites belonging to 2008TC3 were found. 2008TC3 actually exploded in-flight at about 37 km of elevation.

This work will show some examples of historical impactors, such as 2008 TC3 and 2014 AA, and other asteroids, either real or fictitious. The impact corridors will be compared with those existing in literature. Figure 1 shows the extrapolated impact location and the trajectory corridor (in grey) for 2008 TC3, and where the meteorites belonging to it were found. The NEO did not make it to the ground but exploded in-flight at an altitude of about 37 km. Nevertheless, the meteorites were found consistently along the computed trajectory corridor.

Acknowledgments: This research was conducted under ESA contract No. 4000113555/15/D/MRP.

**Comments:**

*(An oral presentation is more suitable for the content of the work)*

**References**

[1] A. Milani, The Asteroids Identification Problem: I. Recovery of Lost Asteroids, *Icarus* 137 (1999) 269–292.  
 [2] Andrea Milani and Giovanni F. Gronchi, *Theory of Orbit Determination*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.  
 [3] A. Milani, S. R. Chesley, M. E. Sansaturio, G. Tommei, G. B. Valsecchi, Nonlinear impact monitoring: line of variation searches for impactors, *Icarus* 173 (2005) 362–384.