

# UNILATERAL – MULTILATERAL – GLOBAL DECISION-MAKING

- Critical Security Studies
  - Security is socially constructed by context, process, actors
  - Ends justify means vs. sustainability and inclusivity
- Global Security Community
  - Vital interests securable only in community (e.g. NATO)
  - Shared values and identity as key factors for security communities
  - Communication based on collective meanings, shared codes and values
- International Organizations
  - Reflexive authority based on demands, not orders
  - Low legitimacy by delivering results and representation of concerns

#### **UNILATERAL SCENARIO**

- Legal obstacles
  - Space as province of mankind, missions ought not to threaten others, rights to state sovereignty and non-intervention
  - Weakening of international legal regime, norms and risks of its disintegration
- Planetary defense as a unilateral matter
  - States rid of possibility to ensure their own security, interests, survival
  - Competition over who saves the world
  - Good intentions not a source of legitimacy, nor free of power and self-interest

#### **MULTILATERAL SCENARIO**

- Nuclear Dilemma
  - Dependence on five nuclear weapon states
  - Destabilization of the nuclear non-proliferation regime
- United Nations Security Council
  - Five permanent members decide over the rest of the world
  - Countries affected not included
- United Nations General Assembly
  - Challenges in reaching complete consensus of conflicting interests
  - Inequality of vote distribution, inequal value of life?

An asteroid is a threat to people, not to states

## **GLOBAL SCENARIO**

- Inclusive, representative and global decision-making
  - Shared global threat perspective, no matter where an asteroid hits
  - Legitimate global authority representing all people
- Pooling capabilities, knowledge and execution
  - Shared practices to construct shared vision for a global community
- Decision-making based on the threat
  - Geographically indiscriminate (space) and long-term sustainable and constant (time)

### CONCLUSION

Is relying on the dinosaur form of decision-making the best way for planetary defense?

Sustainable decision-making is not based on geography, history and identity but on functionality, reality and principles.

